

Comment On: “Integrating Single-Cell and Microarray Data to Explore the Role of Autophagy-Related Gene Atg7 in Osteoporosis” [Letter]

Jia Liu, Chao Wen 

Department of Orthopedics, Dalian University Affiliated Xinhua Hospital, Dalian, Liaoning, People's Republic of China

Correspondence: Chao Wen, Department of Orthopedics, Dalian University Affiliated Xinhua Hospital, No. 139 Yueling West Street, Ganjingzi District, Dalian, Liaoning, 116037, People's Republic of China, Tel +8618041597072, Email 100079277@163.com

Dear editor

We read with great interest the recent article by Lin et al, who integrated single-cell and microarray datasets to identify ATG7 as an autophagy-related gene associated with osteoporosis and further suggested its role in mesenchymal stem cell differentiation¹. The study is timely, and the effort to combine multi-omics analysis with experimental validation is commendable. We would, however, like to respectfully raise several points that may help further contextualize the strength of the mechanistic and translational conclusions.

First, the discovery framework may be somewhat sensitive to cohort structure and prior feature restriction. The single-cell analysis included only 4 osteoporosis and 4 control samples, and the bulk training and validation cohorts were also relatively small. In addition, ATG7 was not identified from an unrestricted disease-wide screen, but from the overlap between differential expression results and a predefined panel of 30 core autophagy genes.¹ While this is a reasonable candidate-selection strategy, it may also increase sensitivity to donor composition, normalization choices, and prior gene-list restriction. Recent methodological studies have suggested that donor effects and analytic bias can materially influence single-cell differential expression results when not explicitly modeled.²

Second, the proposed EYA1-ATG7 axis may currently be better viewed as an informative hypothesis than as a fully established mechanism. In the present study, this axis is supported primarily by pseudotime analysis and CellChat-based ligand-receptor inference. These approaches are valuable for generating biologically meaningful hypotheses; however, recent reviews have emphasized that cell-cell communication tools differ substantially in assumptions, scoring frameworks, and database design, and that important limitations remain unresolved.³ Likewise, newer work has noted that conventional pseudotime is fundamentally a descriptive ordering rather than a direct representation of biological time.⁴ Additional orthogonal evidence, such as spatial validation, EYA1-high cell isolation, lineage-resolved perturbation, or bidirectional functional testing of EYA1 and ATG7, would likely strengthen this mechanistic interpretation.

Finally, the translational implications may merit somewhat more cautious framing. Although ATG7 overexpression in OVX-derived BMSCs restored autophagy- and osteogenesis-related markers, these findings do not yet establish necessity, pathway specificity, or therapeutic efficacy in vivo. Human data have also suggested that osteoporosis may involve a broader reduction in autophagy activity rather than a single immediately actionable molecular node.⁵ In this context, the current evidence may more securely support ATG7 as a promising associated candidate than as a validated critical regulator or therapeutic target.

Overall, this study provides an interesting and potentially important direction for future work. We believe that modest tempering of the causal and translational language, together with additional donor-aware analyses and orthogonal validation, would further strengthen the reliability and clinical interpretability of the conclusions.

Data Sharing Statement

Data sharing is not applicable to this article as no new data was created or analyzed in this communication.

Author Contributions

JL: Methodology, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing, Supervision. CW: Methodology, Supervision, Writing – review & editing. Both authors gave final approval of the version to be published, agreed on the journal to which the article has been submitted, and agree to be accountable for all aspects of the work.

Funding

This research received no external funding.

Disclosure

None of the authors has any conflicts of interest in this communication.

References

1. Lin Z, Cheng Y, Tang Q, Zheng H, Li H, Lian Y. Integrating single-cell and microarray data to explore the role of autophagy-related Gene Atg7 in osteoporosis. *J Inflamm Res.* 2026;19:579167. doi:10.2147/JIR.S579167
2. Wu CH, Zhou X, Chen M. Exploring and mitigating shortcomings in single-cell differential expression analysis with a new statistical paradigm. *Genome Biol.* 2025;26(1):58. doi:10.1186/s13059-025-03525-6
3. Cesaro G, Nagai JS, Gnoato N, et al. Advances and challenges in cell-cell communication inference: a comprehensive review of tools, resources, and future directions. *Brief Bioinform.* 2025;26(3):bbaf280. doi:10.1093/bib/bbaf280
4. Fang M, Gorin G, Pachter L. Trajectory inference from single-cell genomics data with a process time model. *PLoS Comput Biol.* 2025;21(1):e1012752. doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1012752
5. Trojani MC, Clavé A, Bereder I, et al. Autophagy markers are decreased in bone of osteoporotic patients: a monocentric comparative study. *Eur J Endocrinol.* 2024;190(3):K27–K31. doi:10.1093/ajendo/lvae017

Dove Medical Press encourages responsible, free and frank academic debate. The content of the Journal of Inflammation Research 'letters to the editor' section does not necessarily represent the views of Dove Medical Press, its officers, agents, employees, related entities or the Journal of Inflammation Research editors. While all reasonable steps have been taken to confirm the content of each letter, Dove Medical Press accepts no liability in respect of the content of any letter, nor is it responsible for the content and accuracy of any letter to the editor.

Journal of Inflammation Research

Publish your work in this journal

The Journal of Inflammation Research is an international, peer-reviewed open-access journal that welcomes laboratory and clinical findings on the molecular basis, cell biology and pharmacology of inflammation including original research, reviews, symposium reports, hypothesis formation and commentaries on: acute/chronic inflammation; mediators of inflammation; cellular processes; molecular mechanisms; pharmacology and novel anti-inflammatory drugs; clinical conditions involving inflammation. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system. Visit <http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php> to read real quotes from published authors.

Submit your manuscript here: <https://www.dovepress.com/journal-of-inflammation-research-journal>

Dovepress
Taylor & Francis Group