

Systems biology

COBRAxy: constraint-based metabolic modeling in Galaxy

Francesco Lapi¹, Luca Milazzo², Lihao Lin¹, Isabella Cecilia Rizzo¹, Bruno Giovanni Galuzzi^{3,4,5,*} , Chiara Damiani^{1,5}

¹Department of Biotechnology and Biosciences, University of Milano-Bicocca, Milan, 20126, Italy

²Laboratory of Computational Systems Biotechnology, Ecole polytechnique fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL), Lausanne, 1015, Switzerland

³Institute of Bioimaging and Complex Biological Systems (IBSBC), National Research Council (CNR), Via F.lli Cervi, 93, Segrate, 20054, Italy

⁴National Biodiversity Future Center (NBFC), Palermo, 90133, Italy

⁵SYSBIO Centre of Systems Biology ISBE-IT, Milan, 20126, Italy

*Corresponding author. Institute of Bioimaging and Complex Biological Systems (IBSBC), National Research Council (CNR), Via F.lli Cervi, 93, Segrate, 20054, Italy. E-mail: brunogiovanni.galuzzi@cnr.it.

Associate Editor: Inanc Birol

Abstract

Motivation: Metabolic network modeling is essential for understanding metabolic shifts occurring in complex physio-pathological processes. Currently, constraint-based modeling frameworks for metabolic networks primarily rely on Python or MATLAB libraries, requiring some coding skills. In contrast, more user-friendly tools lack essential features such as flux sampling or transcriptomic data integration.

Results: We introduce COBRAxy, a Python-based tool suite integrated into the Galaxy Project. COBRAxy enables constraint-based modeling and sampling techniques, allowing users to compute metabolic flux distributions for multiple biological samples. The tool also enables the integration of medium composition information to refine flux predictions. Additionally, COBRAxy provides a user-friendly interface for visualizing significant flux differences between populations on an enriched metabolic map. This extension provides a comprehensive and accessible framework for advanced metabolic analysis, enabling researchers without extensive programming expertise to explore complex metabolic processes.

Availability and implementation: COBRAxy is available in the Galaxy ToolShed <https://toolshed.g2.bx.psu.edu/view/bimib/cobraxy/9f78303dbd88>.

1 Introduction

Metabolism is a complex, dynamic system regulated at multiple levels, where enzyme activity, substrate availability, and interdependent pathways create non-linear interactions that -omics data alone cannot fully capture. Understanding metabolic shifts in health and disease requires tools that integrate multi-omics data and provide accessible insights, even for researchers without programming expertise.

However, there is a lack of accessible computational frameworks that enable researchers, particularly those without a strong programming background, to apply advanced techniques such as constraint-based modeling and sampling. State of the art libraries, such as COBRApy (Ebrahim *et al.* 2013) and COBRA Toolbox (Heirendt *et al.* 2019), require programming skills, which often limits their use in studying complex metabolic shifts in health and disease. On the contrary GUI-based tools such as Escher (King *et al.* 2015), Escher-FBA (Rowe *et al.* 2018), or FAME (Boele *et al.* 2012) do not enable integration of omics data into the constraint-based problem and do not support flux sampling, which is emerging as a powerful alternative to optimization methods (Herrmann *et al.* 2019). Other comprehensive and user-friendly solutions, such as CellNetAnalyzer (von Kamp *et al.* 2017) and CNApy (Thiele *et al.* 2022), offer extended functionality, but they also present certain limitations: the former

requires a MATLAB installation and does not perform flux sampling, while the latter depends on Python and similarly lacks flux sampling capabilities.

In this context, the web-based bioinformatics platform Galaxy stands out as an ideal solution, promoting reproducible workflows and collaboration (The Galaxy Community 2024). Early efforts like MaREA (Graudenzi *et al.* 2018) and MaREA 2.0 (Ferrari *et al.* 2024) have leveraged Galaxy for metabolic studies, but accessible tools for constraint-based modeling and sampling remain scarce.

To address this need, we introduce the tool suite COBRAxy (Fig. 1). COBRAxy enables the integration of transcriptomics data with COBRA-based metabolic models, offering a comprehensive framework for studying metabolism in both health and disease. With COBRAxy, users can load and enrich metabolic models by incorporating transcriptomic data and adjusting the model's medium conditions.

Using flux sampling, COBRAxy enables the estimation of metabolic flux distributions, offering valuable insights into reaction activity and flux patterns. Additionally, users can visualize statistically significant flux differences between populations on an enriched metabolic map.

By offering an intuitive and accessible platform for multi-omics integration and metabolic analysis, COBRAxy meets the growing need for tools that help researchers explore complex metabolic processes with ease.

control group (1 versus Control). Users can also select a metabolic map to visualize the results. This map must be consistent with the metabolic model and can be uploaded by the user.

The method performs a user-defined statistical test (e.g., the Kolmogorov–Smirnov test) to identify statistically significant differences, and calculates the fold change according to Equation (1):

$$\frac{avg_1 - avg_2}{|avg_1| + |avg_2|}, \quad (1)$$

where avg_1 and avg_2 are the average values of all the fluxes across the samples in the two comparison groups. By default, the P -value threshold is set to 0.05 and the fold change threshold to 1.2 (at least 20% difference), but these values can be adjusted by the user. Only reactions that pass both tests will be highlighted in the output map. The tool generates comparison maps based on the selected method. Arrows are colored sky blue or orange depending on whether the first group is down- or upregulated compared to the second one. If the flux values have opposite signs between two groups, indicating a different direction of usage of a reversible reaction, the corresponding arrow will be highlighted in red or blue. In addition, a map is provided for each group showing the average and median flux distributions. The color map is customizable by the user. In all maps, the arrow size varies: in the comparison maps, it is based on the fold change; in the average/median maps, it reflects the flux intensity.

A table is also provided for each comparison, containing the following values for each reaction: id, P -value, fold change [Equation (1)], z -score, average of the first group, and average of the second group.

Finally, the workflow enables seamless integration of results by exporting flux distributions, statistical analyses, and visualizations in various formats. The output is compatible with clustering tools, facilitating downstream analysis and interpretation.

3 Case study

To demonstrate the applicability of COBRAxy, we analyzed the TCGA-BRCA breast cancer dataset released in (Ciriello *et al.* 2015), comprising RNA Seq V2 RSEM expression profiles of biopsies from 817 patients, with matched normal tissue profiles available for 112 of them, covering 20 440 genes.

The same dataset was previously used for data mapping with MaREA (Graudenzi *et al.* 2018), indicating a consistent upregulation of reactions in the glycolytic pathway but a less clear picture of mitochondrial pathways.

Using COBRAxy, we now integrated it into the constraints of the ENGRO2 metabolic model, which encompasses 395 metabolites, 469 reactions, and 498 genes.

The step-by-step tutorial of the analysis is reported in File 1, available as supplementary data at *Bioinformatics* online. The resulting map, reported as File 2, available as supplementary data at *Bioinformatics* online, provides a much more informative portrayal of the distinct metabolic programs of cancer and healthy cells.

Specifically, in cancer cells, the glycolytic flux and lactate production are significantly increased, consistent with the Warburg effect, indicating a shift toward aerobic glycolysis to meet the energy and anabolic demands of the tumor. The

TCA cycle displays a non-canonical behavior in cancer cells, in line with experimental results reported in Arnold *et al.* (2022). Rather than functioning as a complete cycle, some reactions carry a sustained flux to support the biosynthesis of nucleotides, amino acids, and lipids, while others are reduced, reflecting a metabolic rewiring that aligns with the specific needs of tumor cells.

Additionally, the nucleotide and lipid biosynthesis pathways carry higher flux in cancer cells, underscoring their increased demand for these processes to support growth and proliferation.

This case study highlights the metabolic shifts that differentiate cancer cells from healthy cells and underscores the utility of COBRAxy in exploring complex metabolic systems.

4 Conclusion

The modular design and Galaxy integration of COBRAxy make it a versatile tool suite for investigating metabolic shifts in health, disease, and diverse environmental contexts. By integrating multi-omics data with constraint-based metabolic models, it allows for detailed insights into metabolic shifts. The case study demonstrated the tool's ability to reveal distinct metabolic patterns between cancer and healthy cells, including altered glycolytic activity, non-canonical TCA cycle behavior, and upregulated biomass production pathways. These findings underscore the utility of COBRAxy in characterizing metabolic differences, offering a robust and accessible framework for researchers to explore and interpret metabolic dynamics in diverse biological contexts.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Luca Mantegazza for his useful support.

Author contributions

Francesco Lapi (Conceptualization [equal], Data curation [equal], Formal analysis [equal], Investigation [equal], Methodology [equal], Software [lead], Validation [equal], Visualization [equal], Writing—original draft [equal], Writing—review & editing [equal]), Luca Milazzo (Conceptualization [equal], Data curation [equal], Methodology [equal], Software [lead], Validation [equal], Visualization [equal]), Lihao Lin (Validation [equal]), Isabella Cecilia Rizzo (Validation [equal]), Bruno Giovanni Galuzzi (Conceptualization [equal], Methodology [equal], Software [equal], Supervision [equal], Writing—original draft [equal], Writing—review & editing [equal]), and Chiara Damiani (Conceptualization [equal], Formal analysis [equal], Funding acquisition [lead], Investigation [lead], Methodology [equal], Supervision [lead], Writing—original draft [equal], Writing—review & editing [equal])

Supplementary data

Supplementary data is available at *Bioinformatics* online.

Conflict of interest: None declared.

Funding

C.D. received funding from the European Union—NextGenerationEU within the PRIN 2022 PNRR call [CUP H53D23007680001]. This work has been supported by ELIXIRxNextGenerationIT (Code IR0000010)-CUP B53C22001800006 and by the National Biodiversity Future Center project [NBFC, CN00000033, CUP B83C22002930006]. L.M. was a contributor in the Google Summer of Code (GSoC) 2024 program.

Data availability

The code is stored in the Galaxy ToolShed, at <https://toolshed.g2.bx.psu.edu/repos/bimib/marea>, and in the GitHub repository, at <https://github.com/CompBtBs/COBRAxy/tree/main>. A demo of the tool is available at: <http://marea4galaxy.cloud.ba.infn.it/galaxy/root/login?redirect=%2Fgalaxy%2F>. The case study can be reproduced by using the workflow at this link: <http://marea4galaxy.cloud.ba.infn.it/galaxy/published/workflow?id=16e792953f5b45db>. The data for the case study are available in the GitHub repository in a zip folder called `data_tutorial`. In addition, we also provided a collection of more general workflows illustrating different applications of the tool at the following public Galaxy repository: http://marea4galaxy.cloud.ba.infn.it/galaxy/workflows/list_published. A detailed explanation of each workflow is available in <https://github.com/CompBtBs/COBRAxy/tree/main/docs/tutorials>.

References

- Arnold PK, Jackson BT, Paras KI *et al.* A non-canonical tricarboxylic acid cycle underlies cellular identity. *Nature* 2022;**603**:477–81.
- Boele J, Olivier BG, Teusink B *et al.* Fame, the flux analysis and modeling environment. *BMC Syst Biol* 2012;**6**:8–5.
- Brunk E, Sahoo S, Zielinski DC *et al.* Recon3d enables a three-dimensional view of gene variation in human metabolism. *Nat Biotechnol* 2018;**36**:272–81.
- Ciriello G, Gatz ML, Beck AH *et al.*; TCGA Research Network. Comprehensive molecular portraits of invasive lobular breast cancer. *Cell* 2015;**163**:506–19.
- Di Filippo M, Pescini D, Galuzzi BG *et al.* Integrate: model-based multi-omics data integration to characterize multi-level metabolic regulation. *PLoS Comput Biol* 2022;**18**:e1009337.
- Ebrahim A, Lerman JA, Palsson BO *et al.* Cobrapy: constraints-based reconstruction and analysis for python. *BMC Syst Biol* 2013;**7**:74–6.
- Ferrari M, Lapi F, Penati L *et al.* Integrated visualization of metabolomics and transcriptomics with Galaxy. In: *19th conference on Computational Intelligence methods for Bioinformatics and Biostatistics (CIBB) Benevento, Italy*, 2024.
- Galuzzi BG, Milazzo L, Damiani C *et al.* Adjusting for false discoveries in constraint-based differential metabolic flux analysis. *J Biomed Inform* 2024;**150**:104597.
- Graudenzi A, Maspero D, Di Filippo M *et al.* Integration of transcriptomic data and metabolic networks in cancer samples reveals highly significant prognostic power. *J Biomed Inform* 2018;**87**:37–49.
- Heirendt L, Arreckx S, Pfau T *et al.* Creation and analysis of biochemical constraint-based models using the cobra toolbox v. 3.0. *Nat Protoc* 2019;**14**:639–702.
- Herrmann HA, Dyson BC, Vass L *et al.* Flux sampling is a powerful tool to study metabolism under changing environmental conditions. *NPJ Syst Biol Appl* 2019;**5**:32.
- King ZA, Dräger A, Ebrahim A *et al.* Escher: a web application for building, sharing, and embedding data-rich visualizations of biological pathways. *PLoS Comput Biol* 2015;**11**:e1004321.
- Lewis NE, Hixson KK, Conrad TM *et al.* Omic data from evolved *E. coli* are consistent with computed optimal growth from genome-scale models. *Mol Syst Biol* 2010;**6**:390.
- Mahadevan R, Schilling CH. The effects of alternate optimal solutions in constraint-based genome-scale metabolic models. *Metab Eng* 2003;**5**:264–76.
- Megchelenbrink W, Huynen M, Marchiori E *et al.* Optggsampler: an improved tool for uniformly sampling the solution-space of genome-scale metabolic networks. *PLoS One* 2014;**9**:e86587.
- Rowe E, Palsson BO, King ZA *et al.* Escher-fba: a web application for interactive flux balance analysis. *BMC Syst Biol* 2018;**12**:84.
- The Galaxy Community. The galaxy platform for accessible, reproducible, and collaborative data analyses: 2024 update. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2024;**52**:W83–94.
- Thiele S, von Kamp A, Bekiaris PS *et al.* Cnapy: a cellnetanalyzer gui in python for analyzing and designing metabolic networks. *Bioinformatics* 2022;**38**:1467–9.
- von Kamp A, Thiele S, Hädicke O *et al.* Use of cellnetanalyzer in biotechnology and metabolic engineering. *J Biotechnol* 2017;**261**:221–8.